

SENATE TAKES UP NOISANCE BILL AT NOON TO-MORROW

Refuses to Pass on Saunders Measure in Executive Session.

MANY OBJECT TO RULING BY CHAIR

Minority Held That No Vote Had Been Called on Suspension of Rules—Made Special Order After Long Debate. Won't Take Up Co-Ordinate Bill.

The Senate yesterday, by a vote of 18 to 16, took out of its regular order on the calendar the Saunders anti-noisance bill and made it a special and continuing order for to-morrow at 12:35 o'clock. The special order was filed over the protest of a minority of the members, who claimed that they had never been given an opportunity to vote on the suspension of the rules. An hour and a half of debate was devoted to the controversy surrounding the action on the Saunders bill. It resulted in pointed statements, which in turn called out sharp replies from Senators who felt themselves aggrieved. The debate began when Senator Saunders moved at 12:15 o'clock that his bill should be taken up at 12:35 o'clock on Monday. The Senate voted to take the bill out of its order, and the chair, after declaring the next vote to be on the question of whether or not the bill should be made a special order on Monday.

At this point Senators Hart, Cannon, Featherston and Lester arose with protests against the procedure, calling attention to the fact that the chair had never called for a vote on the suspension of the rules, which is required before any bill can be made a special and continuing order. Lieutenant Governor Elyson admitted that he had never called for a vote on the suspension of the rules, but that following his usual practice at this stage of the session he had taken it upon himself to grant the request for the suspension of the rules when no objection was registered. It would have required twenty-seven votes to suspend the rules.

The chair overruled the objections raised by the Senators named, and declared the vote to be on the question of making the bill a special order. Only a majority vote is required for this. The vote was 18 to 16 that the bill be made a special order on Monday.

The members voted on the Saunders motion as follows:—Hart, Cannon, Crockett, Early, Gayle, Holt, May, Paxton, Royall, Saunders, Sowder, Tanager, Thornton, Walker, Watkins, Wendenburg and West—18. Featherston, Garrett, Gravatt, Hart, Lester, May, May, Parr, Paul, Rinehart and Smith—16.

Motion to Reconsider. Senator Cannon, who had voted in the affirmative on the roll call, changed his vote from affirmative to negative and moved a reconsideration of the vote by which the motion was announced. When the vote was taken on the reconsideration, the motion was defeated by a vote of 17 to 11. Senator Cannon then moved a reconsideration of the vote by which the motion was announced. When the vote was taken on the reconsideration, the motion was defeated by a vote of 17 to 11.

The controversy proper began with the introduction of a motion by Senator Lester, providing that when the special order is taken up on Monday the Senate go into executive session, a number of members, who had been present at the hearing on the bill, moved to amend the motion so that it would have the effect of passing by the whole bill. The vote on the amendment was 18 to 16 in favor of the amendment.

The controversy proper began with the introduction of a motion by Senator Lester, providing that when the special order is taken up on Monday the Senate go into executive session, a number of members, who had been present at the hearing on the bill, moved to amend the motion so that it would have the effect of passing by the whole bill. The vote on the amendment was 18 to 16 in favor of the amendment.

The controversy proper began with the introduction of a motion by Senator Lester, providing that when the special order is taken up on Monday the Senate go into executive session, a number of members, who had been present at the hearing on the bill, moved to amend the motion so that it would have the effect of passing by the whole bill. The vote on the amendment was 18 to 16 in favor of the amendment.

The controversy proper began with the introduction of a motion by Senator Lester, providing that when the special order is taken up on Monday the Senate go into executive session, a number of members, who had been present at the hearing on the bill, moved to amend the motion so that it would have the effect of passing by the whole bill. The vote on the amendment was 18 to 16 in favor of the amendment.

WILL VISIT WILLARDS

Col. Roosevelt to Witness Son's Marriage Before Coming to United States. (Special Cable to The Times-Dispatch.) London, March 7.—Colonel Roosevelt will not return direct to the United States from South Africa, but is going to Spain with his son, Kermit, for the latter's marriage to Miss Belle Wyatt Willard, according to Joseph H. Willard, the ambassador at Madrid.

Mr. and Mrs. Willard and Miss Belle are the guests of Ambassador Page and Mrs. Page. They came to London to express purpose of being present at yesterday's court. Mr. Willard said: "The date of my daughter's marriage has not been definitely fixed yet, but it will probably take place in the latter part of April. Colonel Roosevelt is coming to Madrid direct, not via the United States or London. Mr. Willard, my daughter and myself will leave London for Madrid on Tuesday or Wednesday, stopping a day or two in Paris, where our daughter is to be married. We shall take her with us to Madrid. "Both my family and myself are most charmed with the life and people of Spain. We have been most thoroughly at home. The courtesy and generosity of the Spanish people have made us feel so. We have had no difficulty in finding a suitable house and are quite comfortably settled."

BANK CITIES NOT SELECTED

McAdoo Says Committee Has Reached No Conclusion Whatever. (Special Cable to The Times-Dispatch.) Washington, March 7.—Under a decision of the reserve bank organization committee, all information designed to affect the definition of Federal reserve districts or the location of Federal reserve banks must be referred at the Treasury Department by March 20.

Although the committee has discussed the location of reserve cities since its trip through the country, and the committee has not yet reached a decision, it is understood that the committee will not make any recommendation until after the next meeting. The committee has not yet reached a decision on the location of reserve cities, and it is understood that the committee will not make any recommendation until after the next meeting.

The committee has not yet reached a decision on the location of reserve cities, and it is understood that the committee will not make any recommendation until after the next meeting. The committee has not yet reached a decision on the location of reserve cities, and it is understood that the committee will not make any recommendation until after the next meeting.

The committee has not yet reached a decision on the location of reserve cities, and it is understood that the committee will not make any recommendation until after the next meeting. The committee has not yet reached a decision on the location of reserve cities, and it is understood that the committee will not make any recommendation until after the next meeting.

The committee has not yet reached a decision on the location of reserve cities, and it is understood that the committee will not make any recommendation until after the next meeting. The committee has not yet reached a decision on the location of reserve cities, and it is understood that the committee will not make any recommendation until after the next meeting.

The committee has not yet reached a decision on the location of reserve cities, and it is understood that the committee will not make any recommendation until after the next meeting. The committee has not yet reached a decision on the location of reserve cities, and it is understood that the committee will not make any recommendation until after the next meeting.

The committee has not yet reached a decision on the location of reserve cities, and it is understood that the committee will not make any recommendation until after the next meeting. The committee has not yet reached a decision on the location of reserve cities, and it is understood that the committee will not make any recommendation until after the next meeting.

The committee has not yet reached a decision on the location of reserve cities, and it is understood that the committee will not make any recommendation until after the next meeting. The committee has not yet reached a decision on the location of reserve cities, and it is understood that the committee will not make any recommendation until after the next meeting.

The committee has not yet reached a decision on the location of reserve cities, and it is understood that the committee will not make any recommendation until after the next meeting. The committee has not yet reached a decision on the location of reserve cities, and it is understood that the committee will not make any recommendation until after the next meeting.

The committee has not yet reached a decision on the location of reserve cities, and it is understood that the committee will not make any recommendation until after the next meeting. The committee has not yet reached a decision on the location of reserve cities, and it is understood that the committee will not make any recommendation until after the next meeting.

The committee has not yet reached a decision on the location of reserve cities, and it is understood that the committee will not make any recommendation until after the next meeting. The committee has not yet reached a decision on the location of reserve cities, and it is understood that the committee will not make any recommendation until after the next meeting.

The committee has not yet reached a decision on the location of reserve cities, and it is understood that the committee will not make any recommendation until after the next meeting. The committee has not yet reached a decision on the location of reserve cities, and it is understood that the committee will not make any recommendation until after the next meeting.

The committee has not yet reached a decision on the location of reserve cities, and it is understood that the committee will not make any recommendation until after the next meeting. The committee has not yet reached a decision on the location of reserve cities, and it is understood that the committee will not make any recommendation until after the next meeting.

The committee has not yet reached a decision on the location of reserve cities, and it is understood that the committee will not make any recommendation until after the next meeting. The committee has not yet reached a decision on the location of reserve cities, and it is understood that the committee will not make any recommendation until after the next meeting.

The committee has not yet reached a decision on the location of reserve cities, and it is understood that the committee will not make any recommendation until after the next meeting. The committee has not yet reached a decision on the location of reserve cities, and it is understood that the committee will not make any recommendation until after the next meeting.

The committee has not yet reached a decision on the location of reserve cities, and it is understood that the committee will not make any recommendation until after the next meeting. The committee has not yet reached a decision on the location of reserve cities, and it is understood that the committee will not make any recommendation until after the next meeting.

The committee has not yet reached a decision on the location of reserve cities, and it is understood that the committee will not make any recommendation until after the next meeting. The committee has not yet reached a decision on the location of reserve cities, and it is understood that the committee will not make any recommendation until after the next meeting.

FRANK PROTESTS INNOCENCE WHEN SENTENCED TO DIE

Clamor for "Blood Sacrifice," He Says, Is Cause of Conviction.

EXECUTION DATE IS HIS BIRTHDAY

Extraordinary Motion for New Trial on Ground of Newly Discovered Evidence, to Be Filed by His Attorneys, May Again Defer Execution.

Atlanta, Ga., March 7.—Leo M. Frank was sentenced to death here to-day for the murder of Mary Phagan, a factory girl. His execution was set for April 17.

The date set for the execution is the prisoner's thirtieth birthday. This fact was not known until sentence was pronounced, when Frank, turning to a friend, remarked that the 17th would be his thirtieth anniversary. Frank was born in Cato, Tex., on April 17, 1883.

Judge J. B. Hill, of the Superior Court, in pronouncing sentence, said: "The execution of the order of the Supreme Court of Georgia, which on February 17 approved the conviction. Frank was sentenced to death on October 16, 1913, but sentence was suspended pending decision of a motion for a new trial, an appeal to the Supreme Court, and a motion for rehearing on the appeal. The court has now decided that the motion for a new trial is granted, and the execution is deferred until after the next meeting of the court."

The court has now decided that the motion for a new trial is granted, and the execution is deferred until after the next meeting of the court. The court has now decided that the motion for a new trial is granted, and the execution is deferred until after the next meeting of the court.

The court has now decided that the motion for a new trial is granted, and the execution is deferred until after the next meeting of the court. The court has now decided that the motion for a new trial is granted, and the execution is deferred until after the next meeting of the court.

The court has now decided that the motion for a new trial is granted, and the execution is deferred until after the next meeting of the court. The court has now decided that the motion for a new trial is granted, and the execution is deferred until after the next meeting of the court.

The court has now decided that the motion for a new trial is granted, and the execution is deferred until after the next meeting of the court. The court has now decided that the motion for a new trial is granted, and the execution is deferred until after the next meeting of the court.

The court has now decided that the motion for a new trial is granted, and the execution is deferred until after the next meeting of the court. The court has now decided that the motion for a new trial is granted, and the execution is deferred until after the next meeting of the court.

The court has now decided that the motion for a new trial is granted, and the execution is deferred until after the next meeting of the court. The court has now decided that the motion for a new trial is granted, and the execution is deferred until after the next meeting of the court.

The court has now decided that the motion for a new trial is granted, and the execution is deferred until after the next meeting of the court. The court has now decided that the motion for a new trial is granted, and the execution is deferred until after the next meeting of the court.

The court has now decided that the motion for a new trial is granted, and the execution is deferred until after the next meeting of the court. The court has now decided that the motion for a new trial is granted, and the execution is deferred until after the next meeting of the court.

The court has now decided that the motion for a new trial is granted, and the execution is deferred until after the next meeting of the court. The court has now decided that the motion for a new trial is granted, and the execution is deferred until after the next meeting of the court.

The court has now decided that the motion for a new trial is granted, and the execution is deferred until after the next meeting of the court. The court has now decided that the motion for a new trial is granted, and the execution is deferred until after the next meeting of the court.

The court has now decided that the motion for a new trial is granted, and the execution is deferred until after the next meeting of the court. The court has now decided that the motion for a new trial is granted, and the execution is deferred until after the next meeting of the court.

The court has now decided that the motion for a new trial is granted, and the execution is deferred until after the next meeting of the court. The court has now decided that the motion for a new trial is granted, and the execution is deferred until after the next meeting of the court.

The court has now decided that the motion for a new trial is granted, and the execution is deferred until after the next meeting of the court. The court has now decided that the motion for a new trial is granted, and the execution is deferred until after the next meeting of the court.

The court has now decided that the motion for a new trial is granted, and the execution is deferred until after the next meeting of the court. The court has now decided that the motion for a new trial is granted, and the execution is deferred until after the next meeting of the court.

The court has now decided that the motion for a new trial is granted, and the execution is deferred until after the next meeting of the court. The court has now decided that the motion for a new trial is granted, and the execution is deferred until after the next meeting of the court.

The court has now decided that the motion for a new trial is granted, and the execution is deferred until after the next meeting of the court. The court has now decided that the motion for a new trial is granted, and the execution is deferred until after the next meeting of the court.

TREATY READY TO BE SIGNED

Bryan and British Ambassador Discuss Terms of Peace Pact. (Special Cable to The Times-Dispatch.) Washington, March 7.—Secretary Bryan and Sir Cecil Spring-Rice, British ambassador, to-day discussed details of a peace treaty similar to those which Mr. Bryan has already signed with thirteen countries, and to which Great Britain has assented in principle.

The secretary announced he expected soon to sign similar treaties with Argentina, Chile and Uruguay, while Brazil also had begun discussion of details. The treaties provide for the submission to an international commission of all questions which cannot be settled by ordinary diplomatic methods. Instructions to Dr. Romulo S. Naon, Argentine minister, to sign the treaty are understood to be on the way, while Chile may be ready to sign early next week. Uruguay, it is said, will not be far behind the two other South American countries.

Thirty-three countries up to date have accepted the treaties in principle. None of those signed has yet been approved by the Senate. Great Britain has gone further than the others in that it has agreed to accept the treaties of all nations of Europe, although the terms of the treaties have not yet been finally agreed upon. Great Britain's pact does not contain a provision that the treaty should be entered into during period of investigation.

ARCHBISHOP OF CANTERBURY DISAPPOINTS KING AND QUEEN

(Special Cable to The Times-Dispatch.) London, March 7.—The Archbishop of Canterbury, following the most fastidious judges of the good things of life, arranged to entertain the King and Queen at dinner last week, and looked forward with delight to the banquet to be experienced by his royal guests when they should set before them some old chateau and its surroundings, from the cellars of Lambeth Palace, when a comfortable dinner both wines and food would be served.

His unexpected arrival, however, was undrinkable. In addition to this the archbishop had only port and chablis, and the king and queen, who were in the habit of drinking the best of the best, were disappointed. The archbishop had only port and chablis, and the king and queen, who were in the habit of drinking the best of the best, were disappointed.

The archbishop had only port and chablis, and the king and queen, who were in the habit of drinking the best of the best, were disappointed. The archbishop had only port and chablis, and the king and queen, who were in the habit of drinking the best of the best, were disappointed.

The archbishop had only port and chablis, and the king and queen, who were in the habit of drinking the best of the best, were disappointed. The archbishop had only port and chablis, and the king and queen, who were in the habit of drinking the best of the best, were disappointed.

The archbishop had only port and chablis, and the king and queen, who were in the habit of drinking the best of the best, were disappointed. The archbishop had only port and chablis, and the king and queen, who were in the habit of drinking the best of the best, were disappointed.

The archbishop had only port and chablis, and the king and queen, who were in the habit of drinking the best of the best, were disappointed. The archbishop had only port and chablis, and the king and queen, who were in the habit of drinking the best of the best, were disappointed.

The archbishop had only port and chablis, and the king and queen, who were in the habit of drinking the best of the best, were disappointed. The archbishop had only port and chablis, and the king and queen, who were in the habit of drinking the best of the best, were disappointed.

The archbishop had only port and chablis, and the king and queen, who were in the habit of drinking the best of the best, were disappointed. The archbishop had only port and chablis, and the king and queen, who were in the habit of drinking the best of the best, were disappointed.

The archbishop had only port and chablis, and the king and queen, who were in the habit of drinking the best of the best, were disappointed. The archbishop had only port and chablis, and the king and queen, who were in the habit of drinking the best of the best, were disappointed.

The archbishop had only port and chablis, and the king and queen, who were in the habit of drinking the best of the best, were disappointed. The archbishop had only port and chablis, and the king and queen, who were in the habit of drinking the best of the best, were disappointed.

The archbishop had only port and chablis, and the king and queen, who were in the habit of drinking the best of the best, were disappointed. The archbishop had only port and chablis, and the king and queen, who were in the habit of drinking the best of the best, were disappointed.

The archbishop had only port and chablis, and the king and queen, who were in the habit of drinking the best of the best, were disappointed. The archbishop had only port and chablis, and the king and queen, who were in the habit of drinking the best of the best, were disappointed.

The archbishop had only port and chablis, and the king and queen, who were in the habit of drinking the best of the best, were disappointed. The archbishop had only port and chablis, and the king and queen, who were in the habit of drinking the best of the best, were disappointed.

The archbishop had only port and chablis, and the king and queen, who were in the habit of drinking the best of the best, were disappointed. The archbishop had only port and chablis, and the king and queen, who were in the habit of drinking the best of the best, were disappointed.

The archbishop had only port and chablis, and the king and queen, who were in the habit of drinking the best of the best, were disappointed. The archbishop had only port and chablis, and the king and queen, who were in the habit of drinking the best of the best, were disappointed.

The archbishop had only port and chablis, and the king and queen, who were in the habit of drinking the best of the best, were disappointed. The archbishop had only port and chablis, and the king and queen, who were in the habit of drinking the best of the best, were disappointed.

The archbishop had only port and chablis, and the king and queen, who were in the habit of drinking the best of the best, were disappointed. The archbishop had only port and chablis, and the king and queen, who were in the habit of drinking the best of the best, were disappointed.

The archbishop had only port and chablis, and the king and queen, who were in the habit of drinking the best of the best, were disappointed. The archbishop had only port and chablis, and the king and queen, who were in the habit of drinking the best of the best, were disappointed.

The archbishop had only port and chablis, and the king and queen, who were in the habit of drinking the best of the best, were disappointed. The archbishop had only port and chablis, and the king and queen, who were in the habit of drinking the best of the best, were disappointed.

SPEAKER CLARK RESISTS CHARGE THAT HE MISCOUNTED VOTES IN HOUSE

Answers Allegation That He Miscounted Votes in House.

WARMLY PRAISED BY LEADER MANN

Members Cheer Spirited Defense by Republican for Several Minutes—Alleged Partiality Toward McDermott Following Lobby Investigation Subject of Inquiry.

Washington, March 7.—Speaker Clark made a sharp and pointed reply to-day from the rostrum of the House to remarks recently made by Professor Charles Zuehlke, a member of the faculty of sociology at Chicago University, to the effect that the Speaker had made a deliberate miscount of votes on the resolution to expel Representative McDermott of Illinois, following the report on the lobbying investigation. After the Speaker had expressed himself forcibly, Representative Mann, of Illinois, drew forth the applause of Democrats and Republicans alike by going strong to the defense of the Speaker and reminding the House that Mr. Clark is sixty-four years old to-day.

Just to add a little mustard to the occasion Representative Mann, of Illinois, drew forth the applause of Democrats and Republicans alike by going strong to the defense of the Speaker and reminding the House that Mr. Clark is sixty-four years old to-day.

Just to add a little mustard to the occasion Representative Mann, of Illinois, drew forth the applause of Democrats and Republicans alike by going strong to the defense of the Speaker and reminding the House that Mr. Clark is sixty-four years old to-day.

Just to add a little mustard to the occasion Representative Mann, of Illinois, drew forth the applause of Democrats and Republicans alike by going strong to the defense of the Speaker and reminding the House that Mr. Clark is sixty-four years old to-day.

Just to add a little mustard to the occasion Representative Mann, of Illinois, drew forth the applause of Democrats and Republicans alike by going strong to the defense of the Speaker and reminding the House that Mr. Clark is sixty-four years old to-day.

Just to add a little mustard to the occasion Representative Mann, of Illinois, drew forth the applause of Democrats and Republicans alike by going strong to the defense of the Speaker and reminding the House that Mr. Clark is sixty-four years old to-day.

Just to add a little mustard to the occasion Representative Mann, of Illinois, drew forth the applause of Democrats and Republicans alike by going strong to the defense of the Speaker and reminding the House that Mr. Clark is sixty-four years old to-day.

Just to add a little mustard to the occasion Representative Mann, of Illinois, drew forth the applause of Democrats and Republicans alike by going strong to the defense of the Speaker and reminding the House that Mr. Clark is sixty-four years old to-day.

Just to add a little mustard to the occasion Representative Mann, of Illinois, drew forth the applause of Democrats and Republicans alike by going strong to the defense of the Speaker and reminding the House that Mr. Clark is sixty-four years old to-day.

Just to add a little mustard to the occasion Representative Mann, of Illinois, drew forth the applause of Democrats and Republicans alike by going strong to the defense of the Speaker and reminding the House that Mr. Clark is sixty-four years old to-day.

Just to add a little mustard to the occasion Representative Mann, of Illinois, drew forth the applause of Democrats and Republicans alike by going strong to the defense of the Speaker and reminding the House that Mr. Clark is sixty-four years old to-day.

Just to add a little mustard to the occasion Representative Mann, of Illinois, drew forth the applause of Democrats and Republicans alike by going strong to the defense of the Speaker and reminding the House that Mr. Clark is sixty-four years old to-day.

Just to add a little mustard to the occasion Representative Mann, of Illinois, drew forth the applause of Democrats and Republicans alike by going strong to the defense of the Speaker and reminding the House that Mr. Clark is sixty-four years old to-day.

Just to add a little mustard to the occasion Representative Mann, of Illinois, drew forth the applause of Democrats and Republicans alike by going strong to the defense of the Speaker and reminding the House that Mr. Clark is sixty-four years old to-day.

Just to add a little mustard to the occasion Representative Mann, of Illinois, drew forth the applause of Democrats and Republicans alike by going strong to the defense of the Speaker and reminding the House that Mr. Clark is sixty-four years old to-day.

Just to add a little mustard to the occasion Representative Mann, of Illinois, drew forth the applause of Democrats and Republicans alike by going strong to the defense of the Speaker and reminding the House that Mr. Clark is sixty-four years old to-day.

Just to add a little mustard to the occasion Representative Mann, of Illinois, drew forth the applause of Democrats and Republicans alike by going strong to the defense of the Speaker and reminding the House that Mr. Clark is sixty-four years old to-day.

Just to add a little mustard to the occasion Representative Mann, of Illinois, drew forth the applause of Democrats and Republicans alike by going strong to the defense of the Speaker and reminding the House that Mr. Clark is sixty-four years old to-day.

Just to add a little mustard to the occasion Representative Mann, of Illinois, drew forth the applause of Democrats and Republicans alike by going strong to the defense of the Speaker and reminding the House that Mr. Clark is sixty-four years old to-day.

AUTHORITIES PUZZLED

Sacramento Wrestles With Problem of the Unemployed. Sacramento, Cal., March 7.—Sacramento wrestled all day with the army of unemployed, precipitated by the arrival here of "General" Kelly's army of unemployed, on its way from San Francisco to Washington. To-night no solution had been reached, but a command of the militia had been ordered out to guard the State arsenal and to be in readiness to answer a riot call. Companies at Oroville, Stockton, Chico and Woodland were notified to keep themselves in readiness for similar service.

More than 300 recruits joined the army, which is now divided into two rival factions of almost equal strength, one obeying the orders of "General" Kelly, and the other composed of recruits. About 1,500 men are in the two camps.

Leaders of the men called at the executive offices to-day, and demanded of Governor Johnson that they be supplied with food and transportation to the State line. The Governor offered them work instead of food, and declaring they would accept no employment until they had completed the march to Washington.

Some of the men were reached by city and county officials as to what disposition should be made of the army. One of the questions debated was whether or not to ship it back to San Francisco. There were no dissenting voices at the rival camps, although ambassadors of the recruits added to the bitter feeling by inducing a number of "General" Kelly's followers to desert.

GUILTY OF KIDNAPPING

Earle and His Latest "Alibi" Get Light Sentence. (Special Cable to The Times-Dispatch.) London, March 7.—Frank, Earl of Derby, and his latest "alibi," Miss Charlotte Hermann, of the kidnapping of Earl's eight-year-old son, Harold, from the home of his mother at Llandudno, were sentenced to two months' imprisonment and Miss Hermann to six months' imprisonment, both to be served in the county gaol at Chester, on the day of their arrest in Norway. Both were also fined \$5 and \$10 respectively.

Madame Fischbacher, the divorced wife of Earl and mother of the boy, Harold, was awarded \$100 damages. This morning she changed the white rose worn in her buttonhole yesterday for a big pink carnation. "I may be a bit of a snob," she said to the reporters, "but I am not a snob."

Miss Hermann was attired in a less costly costume than yesterday, with a dark dress and a white collar and hat. She smiled and chatted with Earle and his mother, who were also present. A fashionable woman again filled every corner, a dozen flanking the judge on the bench.

BAN ON TICKET SPECULATION

New York Ordinance to Regulate Speculative Measures by Ticket Dealers. New York, March 7.—The committee on amusements of the Board of Aldermen has unanimously voted to regulate the passage of two measures designed to curb the ticket speculation. One of the proposed ordinances would require theatres to print the price of the tickets on the face, and to agree that they would not sell tickets at a price above the face value.

In order that this ordinance may be made more effective, another ordinance would amend the sections of the charter relating to the licensing of theatres by the police commission, and give him the power to revoke the licenses of all places that connived at ticket speculation.

In order that this ordinance may be made more effective, another ordinance would amend the sections of the charter relating to the licensing of theatres by the police commission, and give him the power to revoke the licenses of all places that connived at ticket speculation.

In order that this ordinance may be made more effective, another ordinance would amend the sections of the charter relating to the licensing of theatres by the police commission, and give him the power to revoke the licenses of all places that connived at ticket speculation.

In order that this ordinance may be made more effective, another ordinance would amend the sections of the charter relating to the licensing of theatres by the police commission, and give him the power to revoke the licenses of all places that connived at ticket speculation.

In order that this ordinance may be made more effective, another ordinance would amend the sections of the charter relating to the licensing of theatres by the police commission, and give him the power to revoke the licenses of all places that connived at ticket speculation.

In order that this ordinance may be made more effective, another ordinance would amend the sections of the charter relating to the licensing of theatres by the police commission, and give him the power to revoke the licenses of all places that connived at ticket speculation.

In order that this ordinance may be made more effective, another ordinance would amend the sections of the charter relating to the licensing of theatres by the police commission, and give him the power to revoke the licenses of all places that connived at ticket speculation.

In order that this ordinance may be made more effective, another ordinance would amend the sections of the charter relating to the licensing of theatres by the police commission, and give him the power to revoke the licenses of all places that connived at ticket speculation.

In order that this ordinance may be made more effective, another ordinance would amend the sections of the charter relating to the licensing of theatres by the police commission, and give him the power to revoke the licenses of all places that connived at ticket speculation.

In order that this ordinance may be made more effective, another ordinance would amend the sections of the charter relating to the licensing of theatres by the police commission, and give him the power to revoke the licenses of all places that connived at ticket speculation.

In order that this ordinance may be made more effective, another ordinance would amend the sections of the charter relating to the licensing of theatres by the police commission, and give him the power to revoke the licenses of all places that connived at ticket speculation.

In order that this ordinance may be made more effective, another ordinance would amend the sections of the charter relating to the licensing of theatres by the police commission, and give him the power to revoke the licenses of all places that connived at ticket speculation.

In order that this ordinance may be made more effective, another ordinance would amend the sections of the charter relating to the licensing of theatres by the police commission, and give him the power to revoke the licenses of all places that connived at ticket speculation.

COMMISSION MAY EXAMINE BODY OF BENTON

No Further Effort Likely to Be Made to Accomplish Task.

AWAITING REPORT FROM CARRANZA

Bryan Expects to Receive Report on Result of Constitutional Investigation Into Deaths of Foreigners—No Answer Will Be Made to Huerta's Note.

Washington, March 7.—Secretary Bryan said to-day that the Anglo-American commission appointed to examine the body of William S. Benton, the British subject, still was "status quo." In official circles it was understood the commission would make no further effort to accomplish its task. Secretary Bryan also said nothing had been received concerning the Constitutional investigation into the Benton and Bauch cases.

Mr. Bryan expects to receive the commission's findings in the Bauch case. General Carranza has declared that any information in the Benton case must be delivered to the British authorities themselves.